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INTRODUCTION

Pregnancy is a unique period during a woman’s life and is characterized by complex physiologic changes, which can adversely affect oral health.\textsuperscript{1} Because oral health is an integral part of overall health, oral problems encountered in the pregnant patient must
be promptly and properly addressed. Several national health organizations have issued statements in recent years calling for improved oral health care during pregnancy. Accumulated research shows that routine preventive, diagnostic, and restorative dental treatment—including periodontal therapy—during pregnancy does not increase adverse pregnancy outcomes. The first national consensus statement issued in 2012 concluded such dental care is both safe and effective throughout pregnancy. Nonetheless, many women currently do not seek or do not receive dental care during the perinatal period. Recent data suggest that about 50% of women do not have a dental visit during pregnancy, even when they perceive a dental need. In addition, women with both private dental insurance and Medicaid coverage receive less dental care when they are pregnant than when they are not. Factors for some women may include lack of insurance coverage or access, and it is possible that those with Medicaid coverage receive less dental care than those with other dental insurance plans. Research also suggests that misperceived barriers and inadequate knowledge about evidence-based perinatal dental care on the part of both patients and health care providers also play a role in underutilization.

This article provides a summary of the most current evidence-based perinatal oral health guidelines, a review of common oral health manifestations during pregnancy, and insights into the safety and effectiveness of periodontal treatment on maternal and fetal outcomes.

PERINATAL ORAL HEALTH GUIDELINES

Over the last decade, several state organizations have issued evidence-based guidelines on perinatal oral health, including California, New York, South Carolina, and Washington. The American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry also issued guidelines, highlighting the important influence of maternal oral health care and knowledge on their children’s oral health. These guidelines and a review of the recent medical literature culminated in the first national guidelines issued in 2012: Oral Health Care During Pregnancy: A National Consensus Statement of an Expert Workgroup Meeting. This workgroup and meeting were sponsored by the Health Resources and Services Administration’s Maternal and Child Health Bureau in collaboration with the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists and the American Dental Association. Key concepts from the 2012 consensus statement are reviewed here.

When to Consult with Prenatal Care Health Professionals

In general, the national consensus states that dental care can be safely delivered during all trimesters of pregnancy. It is important, however, to consult with a patient’s prenatal care provider when considering any of the following:

- Comorbid conditions that could affect management of the patient’s oral problems, such as diabetes, hypertension, pulmonary or cardiac disease, and bleeding disorders
- The use of intravenous sedation or general anesthesia
- The use of nitrous oxide as an adjunct to local anesthetics

Safety of Dental Treatment During Pregnancy

Pregnant women deserve the same level of care as any other dental patient, and clinicians now have an evidence base that shows appropriate dental care as being both necessary and safe during the perinatal period. There have been three published US randomized clinical trials in which standard dental treatment was provided to
pregnant women. Overall, these studies confirmed the safety of this treatment to the pregnant woman and the fetus. Among women who know they are pregnant, the risk of miscarriage before 20 weeks of pregnancy is between 15% and 20%, and most miscarriages are not preventable. By definition, the risk of teratogenicity (the ability to cause birth defects), whether from imaging procedures, medications, or other medical treatments, must take place prior to 12 weeks gestation. There is little evidence that the medications used in standard dental practice have a teratogenic effect. Necessary dental imaging studies with appropriate maternal body shielding have not been associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes.

The following sections review the evidence-based consensus on dental-related treatments.

**Medications and anesthesia**

There is considerable confusion among dental health care providers about medication safety during pregnancy. The national consensus panel released evidence-based guidelines related to pharmaceutical agents, including analgesics, antibiotics, anesthetics, and antimicrobials (Table 1).

Few clinical drug trials have included pregnant women; therefore, successful long-term clinical use without known adverse effects is the best available evidence supporting the safety of a given drug.13

Older, reliable anesthetics and medications that have a solid track record of low-incidence adverse effects should always be the first choice.13,14 Some examples include local anesthetics such as lidocaine 2% with 1:100,000 epinephrine and mepivacaine 3%; antibiotics such as penicillin, amoxicillin, and clindamycin; antifungals such as nystatin; and short-term use of analgesics such as acetaminophen with codeine.13 Providers should also be familiar with medications that are contraindicated in pregnancy, and all guidelines mentioned earlier include these agents.13–17

A dental provider must always ask if the benefit to the mother and fetus of taking a medication, whether to control infection, pain, or other disease processes, is greater than the potential downside of not using the medication to manage or treat the underlying problem. It is judicious to contact the patient’s primary care provider or obstetrician/gynecologist if there are questions or concerns.

**Radiographs**

The 2012 consensus statement and other guidelines advise that radiographic imaging is not contraindicated during pregnancy.1 As for any patient, the standard of care is to take the minimum number of images required for a comprehensive examination, diagnosis, and treatment plan. As with all patients, a thyroid collar and abdominal apron should be used.19

**Sedation and anesthesia**

The use of nitrous oxide should be limited to situations whereby topical and local anesthetics are inadequate and care is essential.1 As mentioned earlier, the patient’s prenatal care provider should always be consulted when considering the use of nitrous oxide, intravenous sedation, or general anesthesia.1

**Extractions, Restorations, Root Canals, and Other Dental Treatments**

Data from the Obstetrics and Periodontal Therapy Trial showed that women who receive fillings or who undergo extractions or root canal treatment during the second trimester of pregnancy do not experience higher rates of adverse birth outcomes compared with women who do not undergo these dental treatments.3 Oral health professionals should recommend prompt treatment when needed, and collaborate
with the patient to determine appropriate treatments and restorative materials because of the risks associated with untreated caries in pregnant women.\textsuperscript{13} For amalgam, there is no evidence of any harmful effects from both population-based studies and reviews, and there should be no additional risk if standard safe amalgam practices, including rubber-dam placement and use of high-volume suction, are used.\textsuperscript{13,20,21} For composite resins, the current evidence base shows that short-term exposure associated with placement does not pose any health risk. However, data are lacking on the effects of long-term exposure.\textsuperscript{13} With any material, best practices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Pharmacologic considerations for pregnant women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pharmaceutical Agent</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Analgesics</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetaminophen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetaminophen with codeine, hydrocodone, or oxycodone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Codeine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meperidine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibuprofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naproxen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antibiotics</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amoxicillin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephalosporins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clindamycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metronidazole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarithromycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levofloxacin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moxifloxacin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetracycline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anesthetics</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local anesthetics with epinephrine (eg, bupivacaine, lidocaine, mepivacaine)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrous oxide (30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Over-the-counter antimicrobials</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cetylpyridinium chloride mouth rinse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorhexidine mouth rinse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylitol</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The pharmacologic agents listed are to be used only for indicated medical conditions and with appropriate supervision.

should be used to minimize risk, such as rubber-dam placement and immediate rinsing of cured surfaces to remove the unpolymerized layer.\textsuperscript{22}

With regard to periodontal disease, meta-analyses of clinical trials have shown that women who receive anesthesia and scaling and root planing (SRP) during the second trimester do not experience higher rates of adverse birth outcomes in comparison with women who do not undergo these treatments.\textsuperscript{23–26} Additional information about periodontal disease during pregnancy is included later in this article.

\textbf{Advising and Educating Patients During Pregnancy}

\textit{Educating women about oral health care}

Pregnancy provides a unique opportunity to deliver oral health preventive information and services that benefit 2 individuals at the same time: the mother and her child. The basis for developing the appropriate preventive approach is risk assessment, which will identify the lifestyle and behavior changes a woman can make to lower her risk for dental disease. Many of these habits and decisions will also affect her child’s oral health.

Educating women about how their own oral health can affect their child’s is a powerful tool.\textsuperscript{1} A survey of pregnant Minnesota women with public and private insurance showed a preference for infant-specific educational information over education on topics that concerned both mother and infant.\textsuperscript{8} In addition, 68\% of the women preferred receiving oral health information by mail, compared with 34.4\% who favored face-to-face delivery. How information is presented in person is critical. Behavioral approaches that determine readiness for change are more appropriate than simply telling a patient what to do.\textsuperscript{27} When selecting health education materials for pregnant women, characteristics of the dental practice and the community it serves are important. Having materials that are appropriate to the literacy level, language, and culture of the patient is critical to reinforcing the verbal message.

\textbf{Recommended oral hygiene during pregnancy}

According to the National Consensus, pregnant women should be advised to continue with routine dental visits every 6 months and to schedule a dental appointment as soon as possible if oral health problems or concerns arise.

Pregnant women should also be advised to adhere to the following oral hygiene regimen at home\textsuperscript{1}:

- Brush teeth with fluoridated toothpaste twice daily, and clean between teeth daily with floss or an interdental cleaner.
- Rinse daily with an over-the-counter fluoridated, alcohol-free mouth rinse. After eating, chew xylitol-containing gum or use other products, such as mints, with xylitol to help reduce bacteria that can cause decay.
- After vomiting, rinse the mouth with 1 teaspoon of baking soda dissolved in a cup of water to stop acid from attacking teeth.
- Eat healthy foods and minimize sugar consumption.

In certain instances, chlorhexidine antimicrobial rinse (alcohol-free formulation) may be indicated for optimal gingival health.\textsuperscript{13}

\textbf{Patient comfort during pregnancy}

With very simple modifications, dental treatment can be comfortable for the patient throughout pregnancy. The following steps can help\textsuperscript{1}:

- Treatment at any time during the pregnancy, including the first trimester, can be safe and effective. However, the early second trimester (at 14–20 weeks) is
traditionally considered more comfortable, because nausea and postural issues are often less of a problem.

- Instruct your scheduling person to query “What time of day do you feel best coming in for your appointment?”
- Position pregnant patients for comfort. Postural hypotensive syndrome is a clinical concern and occurs in 15% to 20% of term pregnant women when supine. To decrease the risk for hypotension, place a small pillow under the patient’s right hip and ensure her head is raised above her feet when reclining. If a patient feels dizzy or faint or reports chills, position her on her left side to relieve pressure and restore circulation. These symptoms are commonly caused by the weight of the pregnant uterus impinging on the inferior vena cava, thus impacting returning venous circulation.
- For women in later stages of pregnancy who must undergo longer procedures, be mindful of the need for frequent postural changes or a restroom break during treatment.

COMMON ORAL HEALTH MANIFESTATIONS DURING PREGNANCY

**Pregnancy Gingivitis**

Gingivitis is one of the most common findings during pregnancy, affecting 60% to 75% of all pregnant women. It is characterized by erythema of the gingiva, edema, hyperplasia, and increased bleeding (Fig. 1). Gingival inflammatory changes are generally observed in the second or third month of pregnancy, persist or increase during the second trimester, and then decrease in the last month of pregnancy, eventually regressing after parturition. Histologically there are no differences between pregnancy gingivitis and other forms, but pregnancy gingivitis is characterized by an exaggerated response to local irritants, including bacterial plaque and calculus.

The underlying mechanism for this enhanced inflammatory response during pregnancy is elevated levels of progesterone and estrogen. The severity of the response is directly attributed to the levels of these hormones. Sex hormones also
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Fig. 1. Mild and severe pregnancy gingivitis.
have an effect on the immune system. Sex hormones depress neutrophil chemotaxis and phagocytosis, as well as T-cell and antibody responses.\textsuperscript{32–34} Specific estrogen receptors have been identified in gingival tissues.\textsuperscript{35} Estrogen can increase cellular proliferation of gingival blood vessels, decreased gingival keratinization, and increased epithelial glycogen. These changes diminish the epithelial barrier function of the gingiva.\textsuperscript{29,30,36}

Progestosterone increases vascular membrane permeability, edema of the gingival tissues, gingival bleeding, and increased gingival crevicular fluid flow.\textsuperscript{29,30,32,37} Progestosterone also reduces the fibroblast proliferation rate and alters the rate and pattern of collagen production, reducing the ability of the gingiva to repair.\textsuperscript{35} The breakdown of folate, a requirement for maintaining healthy oral mucosa, is increased in the presence of higher levels of sex hormones.\textsuperscript{38} The subsequent relative folate deficiency increases the inflammatory destruction of the oral tissue by inhibiting its repair.

Sex hormones can also affect gingival health during pregnancy by allowing an increase in the anaerobic-to-aerobic subgingival plaque ratio, leading to a higher concentration of periodontopathic bacteria.\textsuperscript{39} A 55-fold increase in the level of \textit{Prevotella intermedia} has been shown in pregnant women in comparison with nonpregnant women.\textsuperscript{40} \textit{P intermedia} is able to substitute progesterone and estrogen for vitamin K, an essential growth factor.\textsuperscript{41}

To summarize, the increased levels of sex hormones found in pregnancy help depress the immune response, compromise the local defense mechanism necessary for good oral health, and reduce the natural protection of the gingival environment. These changes, combined with a microbial shift favoring an anaerobic flora dominated by \textit{P intermedia}, are partly responsible for the exaggerated response to bacterial plaque in pregnancy.\textsuperscript{38–40} Generalized supragingival and/or subgingival periodontal therapies should be initiated in women with gingivitis to eliminate plaque build up, as should intensive education on oral hygiene.\textsuperscript{1} Moreover, periodontal therapy can be effective in reducing signs of periodontal disease and the level of periodontal pathogens.\textsuperscript{1} Necessary periodontal care is important and should be undertaken, not postponed, during pregnancy.\textsuperscript{1}

\textbf{Pregnancy Tumor (Epulis Gravidarum)}

Pregnancy can also cause single tumor-like growths of gingival enlargement referred to as pregnancy tumor, epulis gravidarum, or pregnancy granuloma. The histologic appearance is a pyogenic granuloma observed in 0.2\% to 9.6\% of pregnant patients, usually during the second or third trimester.\textsuperscript{30,42} This lesion occurs most frequently in an area of inflammatory gingivitis or other areas of recurrent irritation, or as a result of trauma.\textsuperscript{13,43} It often grows rapidly, although it seldom becomes larger than 2 cm in diameter. Poor oral hygiene is variably present, and often there are deposits of plaque and calculus on the teeth adjacent to the lesion.\textsuperscript{13,28} The gingiva enlarges in a nodular fashion to give rise to the clinical mass (\textbf{Fig. 2}). The fully developed pregnancy epulis is a sessile or pedunculated lesion that is usually painless. The color varies from purplish red to deep blue, depending on the vascularity of the lesion and the degree of venous stasis. The surface of the lesion may be ulcerated and covered by yellowish exudate, and gentle manipulation of the mass easily induces hemorrhage. Bone destruction is rarely observed around pregnancy granulomas.\textsuperscript{28}

SRP and intensive instruction on oral hygiene can, and should, be initiated before delivery to reduce plaque retention.\textsuperscript{13,28,44} In some situations the lesion may need to be excised during pregnancy, such as when it causes discomfort for the patient, disturbs the alignment of the teeth, or bleeds easily on mastication.\textsuperscript{13,28} The patient should be advised, however, that a pregnancy granuloma excised before term may
In general, the pregnancy granuloma will regress postpartum; however, surgical excision may be required for complete resolution.

Caries

The relationship between dental caries and pregnancy is not well defined. Changes in salivary composition in late pregnancy and during lactation may temporarily predispose to erosion as well as dental caries. There are no convincing data, however, to show that the incidence of dental caries increases during pregnancy or in the immediate postpartum period, although existing untreated caries will likely progress.

Pregnancy may cause food cravings, and if these are for cariogenic foods, the pregnant woman may increase her risk for caries at this time. All pregnant patients, therefore, should be advised to bolster their daily oral hygiene routine. For recommendations per the National Consensus, see the earlier section on recommended oral hygiene during pregnancy.

Xerostomia

Some pregnant women may experience temporary dryness of the mouth, for which hormonal alterations associated with pregnancy are a possible explanation. More frequent consumption of water and sugarless candy or gum may help alleviate this problem. More frequent fluoride exposure (toothpaste, mouth rinse) is also recommended for women who experience xerostomia, to help remineralize teeth and reduce the risk for caries.

Perimylolysis

Although nausea and vomiting are predominantly associated with early pregnancy, some women continue to experience this past the first trimester. Hyperemesis gravidarum, a severe form of nausea and vomiting that occurs in 0.3% to 2% of pregnant women, can lead to loss of surface enamel (perimylolysis) primarily through acid-induced erosion.

Pregnant patients should be queried about nausea and vomiting during their dental visits. Those who experience vomiting should be instructed to rinse the mouth immediately afterward with a teaspoon of baking soda dissolved in a cup of water, which can prevent acid from attacking teeth. These patients should also be advised to avoid brushing teeth immediately after vomiting. More frequent fluoride exposure to
remineralize teeth is also recommended for women who experience repeated nausea and vomiting during pregnancy.

**Tooth Mobility**

Generalized tooth mobility in the pregnant patient is probably related to the degree of gingival diseases that disturb the attachment apparatus and to mineral changes in the lamina dura.\textsuperscript{13,28,51} Longitudinal studies demonstrate that as gingival inflammation increases, so do probing depths attributable to the swelling of the gingiva.\textsuperscript{13,52} Although most research concludes that generally no permanent loss of clinical attachment occurs during pregnancy,\textsuperscript{13,53,54} in some individuals the progression of periodontitis occurs, and may be permanent.\textsuperscript{13,55}

**PERINATAL PERIODONTAL HEALTH AND OBSTETRIC OUTCOMES**

*The Association Between Maternal Periodontal Disease and Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes*

Accumulated scientific evidence to date on the association between maternal periodontal disease and risk of preterm birth (PTB) and low birth weight (LBW) is mixed, but generally points to a positive association.\textsuperscript{13,56–59} In general, studies performed in economically disadvantaged populations show these positive associations.\textsuperscript{58} The first report suggesting that maternal periodontal infection may be a possible risk factor for preterm LBW was published in 1996.\textsuperscript{60} The etiology of these adverse birth outcomes continues to be debated, but it has been suggested that bacterial infection and/or inflammation could be causative factors.\textsuperscript{61,62}

Periodontal disease is a chronic infection caused by anaerobic gram-negative bacteria of the plaque biofilm.\textsuperscript{63} As biofilm becomes mature and more pathogenic, oral bacteria can be disseminated systemically and colonize the maternal-fetal-placental complex, causing inflammatory responses.\textsuperscript{56,60,63} Alternatively, periodontal disease can cause abnormal immunologic changes systemically, which lead to pregnancy complications.\textsuperscript{56} These biological pathways explain how periodontal disease may potentiate as a maternal and/or fetal response, resulting in adverse pregnancy outcomes.\textsuperscript{56,60} In 2011, Han and colleagues\textsuperscript{64} reported the first human evidence of an oral pathogen that originated in the mother’s subgingival plaque, *Fusobacterium nucleatum*, having translocated to her placenta and fetus, potentially causing the acute inflammation that led to term stillbirth.

One of the obstacles to clarity on this issue has been the variability in how both periodontal disease and adverse obstetric outcomes have been defined in the epidemiologic studies thus far. Commonly accepted clinical measures of periodontal disease are clinical attachment loss and probing depth, although there is no universally accepted standard in the case definition of periodontal disease.\textsuperscript{58,65} Furthermore, because chronic periodontitis, the most common form of the disease especially in its advanced stage, is typical among older adults, the prevalence of periodontal disease among younger pregnant women may be directly affected by the case definition.\textsuperscript{65}

There is also variability in the definition of adverse pregnancy outcomes. Many studies used PTB and/or LBW as the outcome measure. PTB is childbirth occurring at less than 37 weeks or 259 days of gestation.\textsuperscript{66} LBW is considered less than 2500 g or 5.5 pounds at birth. Although there is a relationship between PTB and LBW, each may have distinct causes.\textsuperscript{62} Birth weight is determined by 2 processes: duration of gestation and rate of fetal growth.\textsuperscript{62} Thus, newborns may have LBW because they are born too early or are small for gestational age (SGA), a proxy for intrauterine growth
Some SGA newborns are merely constitutionally small rather than nutritionally growth restricted. Conversely, some intrauterine growth–restricted newborns who would otherwise be constitutionally large do not meet the standard criteria for SGA. Moreover, newborns may be growth restricted or preterm without having LBW. While the use of more precise case definitions of adverse pregnancy outcomes might be considered ideal, a valid estimation of gestational age may be difficult in populations with late or infrequent access to prenatal care, uncertainty about the date of the last menstrual period, and unavailability of early ultrasound examination.

Another methodological issue that makes the interpretation of the current body of research challenging is that periodontal disease and adverse birth outcomes share several common risk factors, including smoking, stress, socioeconomic disadvantages, older age, chronic diseases such as diabetes, and genetic susceptibility. Thus, questions remain about whether the observed associations represent a causal relationship or are due to the confounding effects of other variables.

Randomized controlled trials in the United States have demonstrated that routine dental treatment during pregnancy, including periodontal therapy, does not increase the incidence of adverse pregnancy outcomes. The 2012 national consensus guidelines emphasize the importance of oral health care during pregnancy and of interprofessional collaboration to improve health care access and overall wellbeing of women during the perinatal period. In addition, the national consensus statement recognizes the importance of maternal oral health care and education on the oral health of their children.

However, whether periodontal therapy is effective in reducing the risk for adverse pregnancy outcomes is still under investigation. Clinical intervention trials conducted during the past decade have produced conflicting results on these outcomes. A meta-analysis published in 2012 showed a pooled risk ratio (RR) of 0.81 (95% confidence interval [CI] 0.64–1.02) for the effect of SRP during pregnancy on the rate of PTB (<37 weeks’ gestation) using the data from 11 randomized controlled trials (N = 2875) with fair to good quality. Pooled RR was 0.72 (95% CI 0.48–1.07) for the rate of LBW (N = 2076; data from 8 studies), indicating a statistically insignificant protective effect of periodontal treatment on LBW. In the 3 multicenter randomized controlled trials conducted to date in the United States, periodontal treatment during pregnancy did not decrease the rate of PTB (<35 or 37 weeks) when compared with women who were treated postpartum. The Obstetrics and Periodontal Therapy (OPT) study used less than 37 weeks’ gestation as the end point, whereas the Periodontal Infections and Prematurity Study (PIPS) used less than 35 weeks’ gestation. The PIPS trial was terminated owing to loss of funding and inability to recruit the intended number of study participants, so the results are less reliable than those of the other 2 studies. Furthermore, giving unnecessary weight to a trend in increasing indicated preterm delivery at less than 32 weeks in women randomized to the treatment arm in this study must be interpreted with caution. A secondary analysis in the PIPS study suggested a possible weak association between scaling and root planing and miscarriage. An Australian single-center randomized controlled trial also showed no difference in PTB outcomes (<37 weeks) for women treated with periodontal
### Table 2
Summary of high-quality randomized controlled trials investigating effect of perinatal periodontal treatment on pregnancy outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Sample Size (N)</th>
<th>Mean Age (y)</th>
<th>Gestational Age at Enrollment</th>
<th>Definition of Periodontal Disease</th>
<th>Primary Pregnancy Outcomes</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>HR/OR/RR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Michalowicz et al, 2006</td>
<td>823</td>
<td>I: 26.1 ± 5.6, C: 25.9 ± 5.5</td>
<td>&lt;16 wk and 6 d</td>
<td>≥4 mm PDF on ≥4 teeth</td>
<td>GA at end of pregnancy (birth &lt;37 and &lt;35 wk GA)</td>
<td>SRP</td>
<td>HR 0.93 (0.63–1.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offenbacher et al, 2009</td>
<td>1806</td>
<td>I: 25.3 ± 5.5, C: 25.4 ± 5.5</td>
<td>6-7 wk of pregnancy</td>
<td>CAL ≥3 mm at ≥3 sites</td>
<td>Birth &lt;37 wk GA</td>
<td>SRP</td>
<td>OR 1.219 (0.0893–1.664)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newnham et al, 2009</td>
<td>1087</td>
<td>I: 30.5 ± 5.5, C: 30.5 ± 5.5</td>
<td>12–20 wk</td>
<td>PD ≥4 mm at ≥12 sites</td>
<td>Birth &lt;37 wk GA</td>
<td>SRP</td>
<td>OR 1.05 (0.7–1.58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macones et al, 2010</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>I: 24.1 ± 5.2, C: 24.4 ± 5.7</td>
<td>6–20 wk</td>
<td>CAL ≥3 mm on ≥3 teeth</td>
<td>Birth &lt;35 wk GA</td>
<td>SRP</td>
<td>RR 1.19 (0.62–2.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviations: AL, Alabama; BOP, bleeding on probing; C, control group; CAL, clinical attachment level; CI, confidence interval; GA, gestational age; HR, hazard ratio; I, intervention group; KY, Kentucky; MN, Minnesota; NC, North Carolina; NY, New York; OR, odds ratio; PA, Pennsylvania; PD, probe depth; RR, relative risk; SRP, scaling and root planing; TX, Texas.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
therapy during pregnancy in a comparison with women treated postpartum (odds ratio 1.05, 95% CI 0.7–1.58).  

In most of these perinatal intervention studies, SRP was the periodontal treatment provided. It has been suggested that a single treatment of SRP during the second trimester or mechanical debridement alone may not be sufficient to effectively treat advanced stages of periodontal disease.

The 2009 Institute of Medicine report on comparative effectiveness research (CER) recommends studies that compare the effectiveness of the various delivery models, the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of surgical care, and a medical model of prevention and care in managing periodontal disease to increase tooth longevity and reduce systemic secondary effects in other organ systems. Such studies would generate evidence to assist clinicians, patients, and policy makers in making informed decisions that improve perinatal health and oral health care and its outcomes at both the individual and population levels. There is an urgent need of such research and effective strategies for disseminating and adopting CER findings in obstetric and dental practice.

**SUMMARY AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

The first national consensus statement issued in 2012 concluded that dental care is both safe and effective throughout pregnancy. Oral health care providers must be judicious in educating the pregnant patient on the importance of oral health, for herself and her child. Patients should also be advised of common oral manifestations and of guidelines for bolstering their usual daily oral hygiene care. As for periodontal disease, research to date shows that conventional treatment does not adversely affect pregnancy outcomes, and guidelines recommend undertaking such treatment as needed. Whether such perinatal therapy can reduce a woman’s risk of LBW or PTB is still inconclusive, and more well-designed research is needed. In conclusion, it is well-documented in the medical literature that maintaining good oral health during pregnancy can be critical to the overall health of both pregnant women and their infants.
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